

BASICS OF ASSET PRICING THEORY  
**Discrete time consumption-portfolio choice and market  
equilibrium**

**Yashar Heydari**  
*University of Illinois*

July 1, 2012

## Multi-period consumption-portfolio choice

- The objective function:  $E_0[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} U(C_t, t) + B(W_T, T)]$

- The intertemporal budget constraint:

$$W_{t+1} = (W_t + y_t - C_t)(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{it} R_{it} + (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{it}) R_{ft})$$

$$\Rightarrow W_{t+1} = (W_t + y_t - C_t)(R_{ft} + \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{it}(R_{it} - R_{ft})) \equiv S_t R_t$$

- The consumer maximizes life-time utility by making consumption-saving and investment decisions.
- Investment is made through portfolio choices which contain one risk-free and  $n$  risky assets.

## Multi-period consumption-portfolio choice

### Solving the problem:

- Derived utility:  $J(W_t, I_t, t) \equiv \max_{C_s, \{\omega_{is}\}} E_t[\sum_{s=t}^{T-1} U(C_s, s) + B(W_T, T)]$   
implies that the consumer makes optimal choices at any point in time according to the available set of information.
- So, can solve the problem backwards!
- $J(W_T, T) = E_T[B(W_T, T)] = B(W_T, T)$
- $J(W_T, T-1) = \max_{C_{T-1}, \omega_{i,T-1}} U(C_{T-1}, T-1) + E_{T-1}[B(S_{T-1}R_{T-1}, T)]$
- FOC's:

$$C_{T-1} : U_C(C_{T-1}, T-1) - E_{T-1}[B_W(W_T, T)R_{T-1}] = 0$$

$$\omega_{i,T-1} : E_{T-1}[B_W(W_T, T)(R_{i,T-1} - R_{f,T-1})] = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

- Plug  $R_{T-1}$  in the FOC w.r.t  $C_{T-1}$  to get:

## Multi-period consumption-portfolio choice

### Solving the problem:

$$U_C(C_{T-1}, T-1) = E_{T-1}[B_W(W_T, T)(R_{f,T-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{i,T-1}(R_{i,T-1} - R_{f,T-1}))]$$

By the FOC's w.r.t  $\omega_{i,T-1} \Rightarrow U_C(C_{T-1}, T-1) = R_{f,T-1} E_{T-1}[B_W(W_T, T)]$

- The last equation along with the FOC's w.r.t  $\omega_{i,T-1}$  wrap up the conditions determining optimal  $C_{T-1}^*$  and  $\omega_{i,T-1}^*$ .
- Applying the envelop theorem: *plugging the optimal values of control variables in the derived utility (value) function  $J(W_{T-1}, T-1)$  and differentiate w.r.t the state variable  $W_T$ :*

$$J_W(W_{T-1}, T-1) = U_C(C_{T-1}^*, T-1)$$

- Recalling  $J(W_T, T) = B(W_T, T)$ , can rewrite the optimality conditions as:

$$U_C(C_{T-1}^*, T-1) = R_{f,T-1} E_{T-1}[J_W(W_T, T)]$$

$$E_{T-1}[J(W_T, T)(R_{i,T-1} - R_{f,T-1})] = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

# Multi-period consumption-portfolio choice

## Solving the problem:

- Optimality principle: *An optimal set of decisions has the property that given an initial decision, the remaining decisions must be optimal with respect to the outcome that results from the initial decision.*
- Then the problem in period  $T - 2$ ,  $J(W_{T-2}, T - 2) = \max\{U(C_{T-2}, T - 2) + E_{T-1}[U(C_{T-1}, T - 1) + B(W_T, T)]\}$  can be rewritten as:

$$J(W_{T-2}, T - 2) = \max_{C_{T-2}, \{\omega_{i,T-2}\}} U(C_{T-2}, T - 2) + E_{T-2}[\max_{C_{T-1}, \{\omega_{i,T-1}\}} E_{T-1}[U(C_{T-1}, T - 1) + B(W_T, T)]]$$

- Using the previous results:

$$J(W_{T-2}, T - 2) = \max_{C_{T-2}, \{\omega_{i,T-2}\}} U(C_{T-2}, T - 2) + E_{T-2}[J(W_{T-1}, T - 1)]$$

## Multi-period consumption-portfolio choice

### Solving the problem:

- FOC's for period  $T - 2$ :

$$C_{T-2} : U_C(C_{T-2}^*, T - 2) = J_W(W_{T-2}, T - 2)$$

$$\omega_{i,T-2} : E_{T-2}[R_{i,T-2} J_W(W_{T-1}, T - 1)] = R_{f,T-2} E_{T-2}[J_W(W_{T-1}, T - 1)]$$

- Then, can proceed to the general form - The Bellman equation:

$$J(W_t, t) = \max_{C_t, \omega_{i,t}} U(C_t, t) + E_t[J(W_{t+1}, t + 1)]$$

With FOC's:

$$C_t : U_C(C_t^*, t) = E_t[J_W(W_{t+1}, t + 1)R_t] = R_{f,t} E_t[J_W(W_{t+1}, t + 1)] = J_W(W_t, t)$$

$$\omega_{i,t} : E_t[R_{i,t} J_W(W_{t+1}, t + 1)] = R_{f,t} E_t[J_W(W_{t+1}, t + 1)], \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

- Depending on the functional form of utility, can solve the system analytically or numerically and find optimal consumption and portfolio as  $C_t^* = g[W_t, y_t, l_t, t]$  and  $\omega_{it}^* = h[W_t, y_t, l_t, t]$ .

## Multi-period consumption-portfolio choice

### Asset pricing implications:

- By the FOC w.r.t  $C_t$ :

$$U_C(C_t^*, t) = R_{f,t} E_t[U_C(C_{t+1}^*, t+1)] \Rightarrow 1 = R_{f,t} E_t[m_{t,t+1}]$$

- Using the above result, and the FOC w.r.t  $\omega_{it}$ :

$$U_C(C_t^*, t) = E_t[R_{it} U_C(C_{t+1}^*, t+1)] \Rightarrow 1 = E[m_{t,t+1} R_{it}]$$

- By recursive substitution of the above equation, one can price trading strategies that can switch assets every period.

$$\begin{aligned} U_C(C_t^*, t) &= E_t[R_{it} E_{t+1}[R_{j,t+1} U_C(C_{t+2}^*, t+2)]] \\ &= E_t[R_{it} R_{j,t+1} U_C(C_{t+2}^*, t+2)] \\ &\Rightarrow 1 = E_t[R_{it} R_{j,t+1} m_{t,t+2}] \end{aligned}$$

- The general asset pricing relationship:

$$1 = E_t[R_{t,t+k} m_{t,t+k}]$$

## The Lucas Model of Asset Pricing - Lucas (1978)

- Derives the equilibrium prices of risky assets for an *endowment* economy.
- Endowment economy:
  - 1 The aggregate real output in the economy is generated randomly.
  - 2 There is no investment/inventory possibilities; The output is non-storable.
  - 3 Assets are ownership claims on the random output - the output can be viewed as cash dividends.
  - 4 The aggregate consumption process is fixed.  $\Rightarrow$  The economy's stochastic discount factor becomes exogenous.
- The model is built on the multi-period consumption-portfolio choice.
- Impose more structure on asset returns:

$$R_{it} = \frac{d_{i,t+1} + P_{i,t+1}}{P_{it}}$$

- Replacing this stochastic return in 1 =  $E[m_{t,t+1}R_{it}]$ :

$$P_{it} = E_t \left[ \frac{U_C(C_{t+1}^*, t+1)}{U_C(C_t^*, t)} (d_{i,t+1} + P_{i,t+1}) \right]$$



## The Lucas Model of Asset Pricing

- Substituting future period's prices in  $P_{it}$  recursively and rearranging terms,

$$P_{it} = E_t \left[ \sum_{j=1}^T \frac{U_C(C_{t+j}^*, t+j)}{U_C(C_t^*, t)} d_{i,t+j} + \frac{U_C(C_{t+T}^*, t+T)}{U_C(C_t^*, t)} P_{i,t+T} \right]$$

- Assuming the time-separable utility has the form  $U(C_t, t) = \delta^t u(C_t)$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$ , the above expression becomes:

$$P_{it} = E_t \left[ \sum_{j=1}^T \delta^j \frac{u_C(C_{t+j}^*)}{u_C(C_t^*)} d_{i,t+j} + \delta^T \frac{u_C(C_{t+T}^*)}{u_C(C_t^*)} P_{i,t+T} \right]$$

- With  $T \rightarrow \infty$  the prices converges to:

$$P_{it} = E_t \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m_{t,t+j} d_{i,t+j} \right]$$

- What does determine the asset prices in an endowment economy?

# The Lucas Model of Asset Pricing

## Examples:

- Risk-neutral agent:

$$P_{it} = E_t \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j d_{i,t+j} \right]$$

- Log utility -  $u(C_t) = \ln(C_t)$ : Recall that total optimal consumption of an individual each period is set to be equal to aggregated claims to output -  $C_t^* = \sum_{i=1}^n d_{it} = d_t$ .

$$\Rightarrow P_{it} = E_t \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \frac{C_t^*}{C_{t+j}^*} d_{i,t+j} \right] = E_t \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \frac{d_t}{d_{t+j}} d_{i,t+j} \right]$$

The market portfolio price is simply computed by summing over assets ( $i$ ).

$$P_t = E_t \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \frac{d_t}{d_{t+j}} d_{t+j} \right] = d_t \frac{\delta}{1 - \delta}$$

Future distribution of dividends doesn't matter!



# The Lucas Model of Asset Pricing

## Examples:

- Power utility (CRRA) -  $u(C_t) = C_t^\gamma / \gamma$ : The market portfolio price takes the form

$$P_t = E_t \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta^j \left( \frac{d_{t+j}}{d_t} \right)^{\gamma-1} d_{t+j} \right] = d_t^{1-\gamma} E_t \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{t+j}^\gamma \right]$$

Assuming  $E_t[d_{t+1}^\gamma] = d_{t+1}^\gamma$  for simplicity:

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial d_{t+j}} = \gamma \delta^j \left( \frac{d_{t+j}}{d_t} \right)^{\gamma-1}$$

Sign of  $\gamma$  determines direction of price movements in response to expected future investment opportunities changes.

$d_{it}$  being non-storable is the key element.

## Equity Premium Puzzle

- Recall that  $R_r(W) = -(U''(W)/U'(W))W$ . For the case of power utility  $R_r(W) = 1 - \gamma$ .
- Considering an asset in the Lucas model which pays a deterministic dividend of \$1 per period (the risk-free asset), its price takes the form

$$P_{ft} = \frac{1}{R_{ft}} = \delta E_t\left[\left(\frac{d_{t+1}}{d_t}\right)^{\gamma-1}\right]$$

- Mehra and Prescott (1985) try fitting the US data of risk premium based on the above equation and the market portfolio price computed earlier.
- They set  $d_{it}$  equal to consumption (assuming the Lucas model works!).
- The interesting result they find is that to make the risk premiums matching the consumption growth requires highly negative magnitudes of  $\gamma$  - extremely risk averse consumers.

This is called the *equity premium puzzle* since then.